

| | | |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Lieu, Ted | Paulsen | Sinema |
| Lipinski | Payne | Sires |
| LoBiondo | Pearce | Slaughter |
| Loeback | Pelosi | Smith (MO) |
| Lofgren | Perlmutter | Smith (NE) |
| Long | Peters | Smith (NJ) |
| Loudermilk | Peterson | Smith (TX) |
| Love | Pingree | Smith (WA) |
| Lowenthal | Pittenger | Smucker |
| Lowey | Poe (TX) | Soto |
| Lucas | Poliquin | Speier |
| Luetkemeyer | Polis | Stefanik |
| Lujan Grisham, | Posey | Stewart |
| M. | Price (NC) | Stivers |
| Lujan, Ben Ray | Quigley | Suozzi |
| Lynch | Raskin | Swalwell (CA) |
| MacArthur | Ratcliffe | Takano |
| Maloney, | Reed | Taylor |
| Carolyn B. | Reichert | Tenney |
| Maloney, Sean | Renacci | Thompson (CA) |
| Marchant | Rice (NY) | Thompson (MS) |
| Marino | Rice (SC) | Thompson (PA) |
| Marshall | Richmond | Thornberry |
| Mast | Roby | Tiberi |
| Matsui | Roe (TN) | Tipton |
| McCarthy | Rogers (AL) | Titus |
| McCaul | Rogers (KY) | Tonko |
| McClintock | Rohrabacher | Torres |
| McCollum | Rokita | Trott |
| McEachin | Rooney, Francis | Tsongas |
| McGovern | Rooney, Thomas | Turner |
| McHenry | J. | Upton |
| McKinley | Ros-Lehtinen | Valadao |
| McMorris | Rosen | Vargas |
| Rodgers | Roskam | Veasey |
| McNerney | Ross | Vela |
| McSally | Rothfus | Velázquez |
| Meadows | Rouzer | Visclosky |
| Meehan | Roybal-Allard | Wagner |
| Meeks | Royce (CA) | Walberg |
| Meng | Ruiz | Walden |
| Messer | Ruppersberger | Walker |
| Mitchell | Rush | Walorski |
| Moolenaar | Russell | Walters, Mimi |
| Mooney (WV) | Rutherford | Walz |
| Moore | Ryan (OH) | Wasserman |
| Moulton | Sánchez | Schultz |
| Mullin | Sarbanes | Waters, Maxine |
| Murphy (FL) | Scalise | Watson Coleman |
| Nadler | Schakowsky | Weber (TX) |
| Napolitano | Schiff | Webster (FL) |
| Neal | Schneider | Welch |
| Newhouse | Schrader | Wenstrup |
| Noem | Schweikert | Westerman |
| Nolan | Scott (VA) | Williams |
| Norcross | Scott, Austin | Wilson (FL) |
| Norman | Scott, David | Wilson (SC) |
| Nunes | Sensenbrenner | Wittman |
| O'Halleran | Serrano | Womack |
| O'Rourke | Sessions | Woodall |
| Olson | Sewell (AL) | Yarmuth |
| Palazzo | Shea-Porter | Yoder |
| Pallone | Sherman | Young (AK) |
| Palmer | Shimkus | Young (IA) |
| Panetta | Shuster | Zeldin |
| Pascarella | Simpson | |

NAYS—17

| | | |
|-------------|---------------|----------|
| Amash | Gohmert | Labrador |
| Buck | Gonzalez (TX) | Massie |
| Davidson | Gosar | Perry |
| Duncan (TN) | Grothman | Sanford |
| Gaetz | Harris | Yoho |
| Garrett | King (IA) | |

NOT VOTING—5

| | | |
|-------------|---------|-------|
| Bridenstine | Hudson | Pocan |
| Clarke (NY) | Kennedy | |

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1213

Mr. DUNCAN of Tennessee changed his vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN ENGROSSMENT OF H.R. 4375, STEM RESEARCH AND EDUCATION EFFECTIVENESS AND TRANSPARENCY ACT

Mrs. COMSTOCK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Clerk be directed to make the change in the engrossment of H.R. 4375 that I have placed at the table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the modification.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amend the title so as to read: “A bill to provide for a report on broadening participation in certain National Science Foundation research and education programs, to collect data on Federal research grants to science agencies, and for other purposes.”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

There was no objection.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 1, TAX CUTS AND JOBS ACT

Mr. BRADY of Texas. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 667, I call up the conference report on the bill (H.R. 1) to provide for reconciliation pursuant to titles II and V of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2018, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 667, the conference report is considered read.

(For conference report and statement, see proceedings of the House of December 15, 2017, at page H9943.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas (Mr. BRADY) and the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. NEAL) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. BRADY of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill currently under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. BRADY of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Today, we are delivering on our tax reform promise to the American people. For the first time in over three decades, we are delivering a new Tax Code that provides more jobs, fairer taxes, and bigger paychecks to Americans across the country.

With this bill, a middle-income family of four earning \$73,000 a year will see a tax cut of over \$2,000. Our local job creators will see the lowest tax rates in modern history so they can in-

vest more in their workers and in their futures. And our businesses will finally have a Tax Code that helps them compete and win anywhere in the world, especially here at home.

This is our moment to make history, to make good on our promise, and to make tax reform a reality for the first time in 31 years.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NEAL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 3 minutes.

Mr. Speaker, what you are about to hear from our Republican friends for the next 30 minutes is the following: a mixture of theology and science fiction. And then they are going to take you to revisionist history.

This is the most regressive piece of tax legislation that has come from the Ways and Means Committee in the 25 years that I have been a member.

This is not about simplification. When you have a chance to look at the phase-ins and the phaseouts, you will be passed out by the time they get to the expiration dates.

They say it is about simplicity; it was going to be on a postcard. You are going to need to carry a billboard around with you to understand what is in this actual bill.

And here is the best part: when Secretary Mnuchin said, Mr. Speaker, that under no circumstances would people at the top get tax relief. Well, I guess if you are formerly in the 39.6 percent tax bracket, which is summarily lowered to 37 percent, that that is dressed up as no tax relief for people at the very top. But then again, to help out with their argument, then they double the exemption on the estate tax, which will go from \$11 million to \$22 million.

The people at the bottom in this, they are going to argue: Everybody gets a tax cut. Well, here is where we go to revised history.

In 2001, President Bush said everybody gets a tax cut. The Federal budget of \$1.3 trillion included a tax cut for everybody. The people at the bottom got a hundred bucks, and the people at the top got hundreds of thousands of dollars. This is a creative replay of exactly what happened.

And then in 2003, they came back and cut taxes again for people at the very top and blew a huge hole in the Federal deficit, which had been estimated at the time, after Clinton left on January 19, 2001, to project surpluses of \$5.6 trillion over the next 10 years. Instead, the Federal deficit mounted to \$6.1 trillion.

So here is the simplicity of their proposal today. They are borrowing \$2.3 trillion to give a tax cut to people at the very top of American society. That is not in dispute.

In addition to borrowing the money, recall that the Federal Reserve Board said they are projecting, already, three increases next year in interest rates. We don't know what this is going to look like by the time they get done, but this, today, is more about ideology than it is about public policy.